How do I complete the survey?

About you

1 What is your name?

Surname: Wood
First Name: Christine

2 How can we get in touch with you?

Email: cwo@mba.ac.uk
Telephone:

3 Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Organisation: Marine Biological Association of the UK

4 Which sector or topic does your response relate to?

Sector or Topic: Other
Please add a second sector if appropriate: Other
Other please specify: Non-native species

5 Do you give us permission to contact you regarding matters relating to your response and wider marine planning communications?

Yes, regarding this engagement, Yes, marine planning communications

6 Would you like your response to be confidential?

No
If you answered Yes to this question please give your reason:

Air (Environment)

1 Do you support policy SW-AIR-1?

Yes
Please state the reason for your answer including any comments or revised text in the box below: Is the intent of the policy clear? What are your views on the policy wording?: Policy clear.

2 Do you support how policy SW-AIR-1 should be implemented?

Yes
Please provide reasons for your answer, including any revised text, referencing the relevant paragraph numbers. Please comment on sections: “Who is this of interest to?” and “How should this policy be applied?”:

3 Do you have any other comments on policy SW-AIR-1 and its supporting text?
Biodiversity (Environment)

1. Do you support policy SW-BIO-1?
   Yes

   Please state the reason for your answer including any comments or revised text in the box below: Is the intent of the policy clear? What are your views on the policy wording?:
   When assessing proposals public bodies should ensure they have sufficient knowledge regarding the possible habitats affected to make an informed decision.

2. Do you support how policy SW-BIO-1 should be implemented?
   Yes, subject to changes

   Please provide reasons for your answer, including any revised text, referencing the relevant paragraph numbers. Please comment on sections: “Who is this of interest to?” and “How should this policy be applied?”:
   When assessing proposals public bodies should ensure they have sufficient knowledge regarding the possible habitats affected to make an informed decision.

3. Do you have any other comments on policy SW-BIO-1 and its supporting text?
   You might want to consider: Is there anything you would change about the What, Where, When and Why sections of the template? Do you have any additional signposting to suggest? and are you aware of any map, data or evidence that could be used to support the implementation or monitoring of this policy?:
   No

4. Do you support policy SW-BIO-3?
   Not Answered

   Please state the reason for your answer including any comments or revised text in the box below: Is the intent of the policy clear? What are your views on the policy wording?:

5. Do you support how policy SW-BIO-3 should be implemented?
   Not Answered

   Please provide reasons for your answer, including any revised text, referencing the relevant paragraph numbers. Please comment on sections: “Who is this of interest to?” and “How should this policy be applied?”:

6. Do you have any other comments on policy SW-BIO-3 and its supporting text?
   You might want to consider: Is there anything you would change about the What, Where, When and Why sections of the template? Do you have any additional signposting to suggest? and are you aware of any map, data or evidence that could be used to support the implementation or monitoring of this policy?:
   No

7. Do you support policy SW-BIO-4?
   Not Answered

   Please state the reason for your answer including any comments or revised text in the box below: Is the intent of the policy clear? What are your views on the policy wording?:

8. Do you support how policy SW-BIO-4 should be implemented?
   Not Answered

   Please provide reasons for your answer, including any revised text, referencing the relevant paragraph numbers. Please comment on sections: “Who is this of interest to?” and “How should this policy be applied?”:

9. Do you have any other comments on policy SW-BIO-4 and its supporting text?
   You might want to consider: Is there anything you would change about the What, Where, When and Why sections of the template? Do you have any additional signposting to suggest? and are you aware of any map, data or evidence that could be used to support the implementation or monitoring of this policy?:

10. Do you support policy SW-BIO-5?
Invasive non-native species (Environment)

1 Do you support policy SW-NIS-1?

Yes

Please state the reason for your answer including any comments or revised text in the box below: Is the intent of the policy clear? What are your views on the policy wording?:

Policy clear.

2 Do you support how policy SW-NIS-1 should be implemented?

Yes, subject to changes
3 Do you have any other comments on policy SW-NIS-1 and its supporting text?

You might want to consider: Is there anything you would change about the What, Where, When and Why sections of the template? Do you have any additional signposting to suggest? and are you aware of any map, data or evidence that could be used to support the implementation or monitoring of this policy?

Supporting text:
Paragraph 2 - minor correction Crassostrea gigas is now called Magallana gigas
Paragraph 3 - The SDAONB have Biosecurity Plans for 5 estuaries in the area - Dart, Yealm, Erme, Avon, Salcombe-Kingsbridge. There is also one for the Severn Estuary, and a draft plan for the Fowey Estuary.
Falmouth seems to be missing from several paragraphs, it is a very large harbour, with container ships being mothballed there, cruise ships coming in, active aquaculture, several marinas etc. Although it's freight figures are lower than Fowey, it is much more significant in all other respects, with many more pathways of NIS introduction. It is a hot-spot for invasive species. See paragraphs 7, 8, 9, 15.

Data and species lists available if required for marinas and harbours all around SW.
Paragraph 6 - Aquaculture - consider rewording & typo correction 'Aquaculture (unintentional escape of species, introductions of other species as 'hitch-hikers' when stocking, or conditions become favourable for species establishment)
Paragraph 33 - the RAPIDLife project (NE is a partner) has recently produced a great deal of supporting guidance on marine biosecurity - see http://www.nonnativespecies.org/index.cfm?sectionid=139
Paragraph 38 Signposting - Add links to RapidLife and SDAONB biosecurity plans
Paragraph 40 - Monitoring indicators - rather than numbers of sites, which would automatically increase with additional marinas etc. I suggest measuring the proportion of sites e.g.
40. Proportion of ports, harbours, marinas with biosecurity plans.
41. Proportion of strategic plans which include biosecurity related policies.
42. Proportion of coastal defence schemes where biosecurity measures have been incorporated.
Also I think you should have monitoring indicators which measure actions rather than the production of pieces of paper. e.g.
a) Proportion of biosecurity plans which have demonstrated implementation of proposed actions.
b) Evidence of active monitoring for NIS in the area.

4 Do you support policy SW-NIS-2?

Yes

Please state the reason for your answer including any comments or revised text in the box below: Is the intent of the policy clear? What are your views on the policy wording?

5 Do you support how policy SW-NIS-2 should be implemented?

Yes, subject to changes

Please provide reasons for your answer, including any revised text, referencing the relevant paragraph numbers. Please comment on sections: "Who is this of interest to?" and "How should this policy be applied?" :
Para 27: - should include referral/adherence to relevant local and regional biosecurity plans.

6 Do you have any other comments on policy SW-NIS-2 and its supporting text?

You might want to consider: Is there anything you would change about the What, Where, When and Why sections of the template? Do you have any additional signposting to suggest? and are you aware of any map, data or evidence that could be used to support the implementation or monitoring of this policy?

Supporting text:
Paragraph 2 - minor correction Crassostrea gigas is now called Magallana gigas
Paragraph 3 - The SDAONB have Biosecurity Plans for 5 estuaries in the area - Dart, Yealm, Erme, Avon, Salcombe-Kingsbridge. There is also one for the Severn Estuary, and a draft plan for the Fowey Estuary.
Falmouth seems to be missing from several paragraphs, it is a very large harbour, with container ships being mothballed there, cruise ships coming in, active aquaculture, several marinas etc. Although it's freight figures are lower than Fowey, it is much more significant in all other respects, with many more pathways of NIS introduction. It is a hot-spot for invasive species. See paragraphs 7, 8, 9, 15.

Data and species lists available if required for marinas and harbours all around SW.
Paragraph 6 - Aquaculture - consider rewording & typo correction 'Aquaculture (unintentional escape of species, introductions of other species as 'hitch-hikers' when stocking, or conditions become favourable for species establishment)
Paragraph 33 - the RAPIDLife project (NE is a partner) has recently produced a great deal of supporting guidance on marine biosecurity - see http://www.nonnativespecies.org/index.cfm?sectionid=139
Paragraph 38 Signposting - Add links to RapidLife and SDAONB biosecurity plans
Paragraph 40 - Monitoring indicators - rather than numbers of sites, which would automatically increase with additional marinas etc. I suggest measuring the proportion of sites e.g.
40. Proportion of ports, harbours, marinas with biosecurity plans.
41. Proportion of strategic plans which include biosecurity related policies.
42. Proportion of coastal defence schemes where biosecurity measures have been incorporated.
Also I think you should have monitoring indicators which measure actions rather than the production of pieces of paper. e.g.

a) Proportion of biosecurity plans which have demonstrated implementation of proposed actions.
b) Evidence of active monitoring for NIS in the area.

Overall Comments and Feedback

1. Do you have any general comments about the information presented to you in this survey?

   You might want to consider: How policies may work together, how can we make policies more locally specific or comments which refer to policies in other plan areas:

2. Overall, how satisfied are you with this online survey?

   Satisfied

   Please provide any comments or suggestions on how we could improve the survey in the field below: